

The Conservative Index

Our third look at the 108th Congress shows how every member of the House and Senate voted on key issues, including the budget, gun ownership and abortion.



House Vote Descriptions

21 Extended Unemployment Benefits. This amendment to H.R. 3030 (Community Service Block Grants) would authorize a six-month federal program to provide an additional 13 weeks of unemployment benefits for people who have exhausted their 26 weeks of state jobless benefits.

According to *Congressional Quarterly* for February 7, 2004, this federal unemployment benefits amendment is part of “an election year strategy by Democrats and labor advocates to try to attach worker-related legislation to other bills.” See Senate Vote #29 for the fate of this amendment in the Senate.

The House adopted this amendment to H.R. 3030 on February 4, 2004 by a vote of 227 to 179 (Roll Call 18). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because payment of unemployment benefits is an unconstitutional activity of the federal government.

22 Child Nutrition Programs. This bill (H.R. 3873) would reauthorize through fiscal 2008 several child nutri-

tion programs, including the National School Lunch and Breakfast Programs, the Child and Adult Care Food Program, and the After-School Snack Program. The Congressional Budget Office estimates that H.R. 3873 would increase direct spending on these programs by about \$226 million over the 2004-2008 period.

Since obesity in school-age children has greatly increased since 1980, the school lunch program reauthorization bill has become a popular vehicle for proposals aimed at reducing obesity. This bill would require schools to develop “wellness policies” that establish nutritional guidelines for all food sold in schools; however, it stops short of setting mandatory federal standards.

The House agreed to the motion to suspend the rules and pass H.R. 3873 on March 24, 2004 by a vote of 419 to 5 (Roll Call 82). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because providing food for citizens is an unconstitutional activity of the federal government. A two-thirds majority of those present and voting (283 in this case) is required for passage under a suspension of the rules.

23 North American Development Bank. This bill (H.R. 254), as amended by the Senate, would implement a U.S.-Mexico agreement that would allow the North American Development Bank (NADBank) to make below-market-loans. It would also extend the area in Mexico served by the bank to a zone along the border 186 miles wide (compared to the current 62 miles wide). The NADBank was established by the 1993 North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) to finance development on both sides of the U.S.-Mexico border. The bank is funded by both the United States and Mexico.

The House agreed to a motion to suspend the rules and passed H.R. 254 on March 25, 2004 by a vote of 377 to 48 (Roll Call 87). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because foreign aid to Mexico in the form of below-market-loans funded by U.S. taxpayers is unconstitutional. A two-thirds majority of those present and voting (284 in this case) is required for passage under a suspension of the rules.

24 Fiscal 2005 Budget Resolution. This resolution (House Concurrent Resolution 393) would establish broad spending and revenue targets over the next five years. It calls for \$871.3 billion in “discretionary” spending (including \$50 billion for supplemental funding of operations in Iraq) and another \$1.5 trillion in “mandatory” spending for fiscal 2005. Based on these targets, the “mandatory” spending portion of the budget would increase by 5 percent over last year, and the total budget — a whopping \$2.4 trillion — would increase by 3 percent.

This resolution projects that the budget deficit would be cut significantly by fiscal 2009 (from \$376.8 billion in fiscal 2005 to \$234 billion in fiscal 2009); however, according to a *Congressional Quarterly Fact Sheet*, “Budget Resolution for FY

2005,” these projected deficits are deceptively low due to an accounting sleight-of-hand whereby “these deficits are calculated by using the surpluses in the Social Security trust funds to offset spending on other programs. If these Social Security surpluses are not counted, the projected deficits in each fiscal year would be \$550.7 billion in FY 2005 and \$471.8 billion in FY 2009.”

The House adopted this resolution on March 25, 2004 by a vote of 215 to 212 (Roll Call 92). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because this budget perpetuates the fiscally irresponsible, largely unconstitutional federal spending with its attendant record-breaking deficits of recent years.

25 Surface Transportation. This bill (H.R. 3550) would authorize \$284 billion in federal aid for highway, mass transit, and safety and research programs for fiscal years 2004-2009. This total includes \$217 billion for highways, \$51.5 billion for mass transit, and \$11.1 billion for House members’ transportation projects.

The Bush administration had wanted to limit the spending in the bill to \$256 billion, which, noted White House spokesman Scott McClellan, would still increase spending by 21 percent. But the House added an additional \$28 billion to the bill (11 percent more than the president had requested). For the Senate version of this bill, see Senate Vote #23.

The House passed H.R. 3550 on April 2, 2004 by a vote of 357 to 65 (Roll Call 114). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because this double-digit increase in spending on surface transportation is fiscally irresponsible at a time of record-breaking federal deficits.

26 Continuity of Congress. This bill (H.R. 2844) would require special elections to be held within 45 days to fill vacant House seats in the extraordinary circumstance of more than 100 vacancies. This requirement would be waived if the vacancies occur within 75 days of an already-scheduled general election.

This bill is a good example of Congress using its legitimate power under the Constitution to solve a problem rather than

proposing a potentially dangerous constitutional amendment. A year ago the Continuity of Government Commission (CGC) recommended that governors appoint House members (perhaps from a list of candidates provided by individual congressmen) in the event a substantial number of congressmen are killed or incapacitated, presumably in a terrorist attack. On June 2 of this year, the House did vote on a constitutional amendment similar to the CGC proposal (see House Vote #29).

However, H.R. 2844 would solve the problem of the loss of a large number of congressmen through the already-existing congressional power to determine “the times, places and manner of holding elections for Senators and Representatives” (Article I, Section 4). And it would do so through special elections rather than appointment.

The House passed H.R. 2844 on April 22, 2004 by a vote of 306 to 97 (Roll Call 130). We have assigned pluses to the “yeas” because this bill utilizes an already-existing congressional power to address a bona-fide concern and pre-empts a dangerous alternative proposal for a large number of vacant House seats being filled by appointment.

27 “Marriage Penalty” Relief. This bill (H.R. 4181) would permanently eliminate the “marriage penalty” by making the standard deduction double that of single taxpayers and by increasing the upper limit of the 15 percent bracket for married couples to twice that of singles.

The House passed H.R. 4181 on April 28, 2004 by a vote of 323 to 95 (Roll Call 138). We have assigned pluses to the “yeas” because this bill would make permanent the tax savings of the “marriage penalty” relief.

28 Abortion at Military Facilities. This amendment to H.R. 4200 (Fiscal 2005 Defense Authorization) would allow women who are in the military or are military dependents to obtain supposedly privately-funded abortions in overseas military facilities.

During the debate on this amendment, Jim Ryun (R-Kansas) correctly stated: “Although this amendment is presented by the other side as providing for solely self-funded abortions, the fact is the American taxpayer will be forced to pay for the use of the military facility, the procurement of additional equipment needed to perform

About This Index

“The Conservative Index” rates congressmen based on their adherence to constitutional principles of limited government, to fiscal responsibility, to national sovereignty, and to a traditional foreign policy of avoiding foreign entanglements. Preserving our Constitution, the freedoms it guarantees, and the moral bedrock on which it is based is what the word “conservatism” once meant — and how it is being applied here.

To learn how any representative or senator voted on the key measures described herein, look him up in the tables on pages 26-31. The scores are derived by dividing a congressman’s conservative votes (pluses) by the total number he cast (pluses and minuses) and multiplying by 100. (A “?” indicates that a congressman did not vote, and a “P” indicates that he voted “Present.” If a congressman cast fewer than five votes in this index, a score is not assigned.)

The average House score for this index (votes 21-30) is 46 percent; the average Senate score is 41 percent. Representative Ron Paul (R-Texas) had the top score for the House at 100 percent. Senator John Ensign (R-Nev.) had the highest score in the Senate at 80 percent.

We encourage readers to examine how their own congressmen voted on each of the 10 key measures in this index as well as overall. Our first index for the 108th Congress (votes 1-10) appeared in our July 14, 2003 issue, and our second index (votes 11-20) appeared in our December 29, 2003 issue.

We also encourage readers to commend legislators for their conservative votes and to urge improvement where needed. For congressional contact information go to www.thenewamerican.com/congress/.

House Vote Descriptions

(continued)

abortions, and the use of military personnel to perform abortions.”

The House rejected this amendment to H.R. 4200 on May 19, 2004 by a vote of 202 to 221 (Roll Call 197). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because all forms of abortion constitute the murder of unborn children.

29 Continuity of Congress Constitutional Amendment. This joint resolution (House Joint Resolution 83) proposes a constitutional amendment that would allow state governors to appoint new House members in the extraordinary circumstance where many have been killed or incapacitated. This amendment would require each newly elected House member to present a list of two or more nominees to the governor of his state. The governor would be required to appoint a member’s replacement from this list.

The House rejected H.J. Res. 83 on June 2, 2004 by a vote of 63 to 353 (Roll Call 219). A two-thirds majority vote of those present and voting (279 in this case) is required to pass a joint resolution proposing a constitutional amendment. We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because amendments to the Constitution should only be considered as a last resort and because House members should be elected, not appointed. (See House Vote 26).

30 Job Training and Worker Services. This bill (H.R. 444) would authorize the creation of “personal re-employment accounts” of up to \$3,000 for unemployed workers at risk of exhausting their state unemployment benefits. Money in this account could be used for such expenses as education, childcare, healthcare or transportation. Those workers who find a job within 13 weeks would be allowed to take the balance in their account as a “re-employment bonus.” This bill would authorize \$50 million in fiscal 2005 for these “personal re-employment accounts.”

The House passed H.R. 444 on June 3, 2004 by a vote of 213 to 203 (Roll Call 225). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because federal aid for job training or unemployment services is unconstitutional.

Senate Vote Descriptions

21 Country of Origin Labeling. The House version of H.R. 2673 (Fiscal 2004 Omnibus Appropriations) included a provision stating: “None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act shall be used for the implementation of Country of Origin Labeling for meat or meat products.” The intent of this provision, of course, is to end country of origin labeling requirements for meat by denying the funding for enforcement. During Senate consideration of this legislation, Senator Tom Daschle (D-S.D.) offered an amendment expressing the “sense of the Senate” that the Senate conferees insist that no such restriction on the use of funding appear in the final version of the bill.

The Senate rejected a motion to table (kill) this amendment to H.R. 2673 on November 6, 2003 by a vote of 36 to 58 (Roll Call 443). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” — that is, those who opposed killing the amendment — because country of origin labeling is a useful tool for (to quote the Constitution) “regulating commerce with foreign nations.” The Daschle amendment was adopted by voice vote later the same day.

22 Fiscal 2004 Omnibus Appropriations. Adoption of this conference report on H.R. 2673 (Fiscal 2004 Omnibus Appropriations) would provide a total of \$820 billion in fiscal 2004, including \$328.1 billion in “discretionary” spending, for a whole laundry list of federal departments and agencies. On January 22, *Congressional Quarterly* described this bill as “among the biggest appropriations packages ever written by Congress.” Total fiscal year 2004 spending (both “mandatory” and “discretionary”) in this bill includes \$80.6 billion (up 8.0 percent) for the Agriculture Department, Food and Drug Administration, Commodity Futures Trading Commission and related agencies; \$38.4 billion (up 1.3 percent) for the Commerce, Justice and State departments and judicial agencies; \$545 million (up 7.1 percent) for the District of Columbia; \$17.3 billion (down 27 percent) for foreign aid and export assistance; \$471.8 billion (up 11.5 percent) for the Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education Departments;

\$89.8 billion (up 3.7 percent) for the Transportation and Treasury Departments and related independent agencies; and \$124.0 billion (up 4.4 percent) for the Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development Departments.

The Senate adopted the conference report on H.R. 2673 on January 22, 2004 by a vote of 65 to 28 (Roll Call 3). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because this bill not only perpetuates huge amounts of unconstitutional federal spending, it also contains many spending increases for various federal agencies despite the fact that annual federal deficits have mushroomed to record levels.

23 Surface Transportation. This bill (S. 1072) would authorize \$318 billion in federal aid over six years (fiscal 2004-2009) for highways (\$255 billion), mass transit (\$56.5 billion), and highway safety programs (\$6 billion). This bill also promises that states would receive at least a 95 percent return on their highway trust fund “contributions” by 2009.

The Bush administration had wanted to limit the spending in the bill to \$256 billion, which, noted White House spokesman Scott McClellan, would still increase spending by 21 percent. But the Senate added an additional \$62 billion to the bill (24 percent more than the president had requested). For the House version of this bill, see House Vote #25.

The Senate passed S. 1072 on February 12, 2004 by a vote of 76 to 21 (Roll Call 14). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because this double-digit increase in spending on surface transportation is fiscally irresponsible, particularly during a time of record-breaking federal deficits.

24 Assault Weapons Ban. This amendment to S. 1805 (Firearms Manufacturers Protection) would provide for a 10-year reauthorization of the so-called assault weapons ban. If Congress doesn’t vote to reauthorize the ban, it will expire in September 2004.

The Senate adopted this amendment to S. 1805 on March 2, 2004 by a vote of 52 to 47 (Roll Call 24). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because this so-called assault weapons ban is an unconstitutional infringement on the Second Amendment.

Senate Republicans had introduced S. 1805 to help protect firearms manufacturers from industry-threatening lawsuits. However, they withdrew their support after gun control advocates won adoption of two “poison pill” amendments — this amendment and a requirement for criminal background checks for all firearms purchases at gun shows (see Senate Vote #25 below) — and S. 1805 was rejected.

25 Gun Show Checks. This amendment to S. 1805 (Firearms Manufacturers Protection) would require criminal background checks on all firearms purchases at gun shows where at least 75 guns are sold.

The Senate adopted this amendment to S. 1805 on March 2, 2004 by a vote of 53 to 46 (Roll Call 25). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because these restrictions on firearm transactions at gun shows would be an unconstitutional infringement on the Second Amendment. Subsequently, the Senate rejected S. 1805 by a vote of 8 to 90.

26 Fiscal 2005 Budget Resolution. This resolution (Senate Concurrent Resolution 95) would establish broad spending and revenue targets over the next five years. It calls for \$851 billion in “dis-

cretionary” spending (including \$30 billion for supplemental funding of operations in Iraq and Afghanistan) and another \$1.5 trillion in “mandatory” spending for fiscal 2005. Based on these targets, the “mandatory” spending portion of the budget would increase by 5 percent over last year, and the total budget — a whopping \$2.4 trillion — would increase by 3 percent.

The Senate adopted S. Con. Res. 95 on March 12, 2004 by a vote of 51 to 45 (Roll Call 58). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because this budget perpetuates the fiscally irresponsible, largely unconstitutional federal spending with its attendant record-breaking deficits of recent years.

27 Child-care Funding. This amendment to H.R. 4 (Welfare Reauthorization) would increase “mandatory” child-care funding by \$6 billion over the next five years (fiscal 2005 to 2009). The federal government currently provides \$4.8 billion annually for child care through a combination of “mandatory” and “discretionary” programs.

The Senate adopted this amendment to H.R. 4 on March 30, 2004 by a vote of 78 to 20 (Roll Call 64). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because child care

funding is an unconstitutional activity of the federal government.

28 Internet Tax Moratorium. This bill (S. 150), as amended, would extend the Internet tax moratorium for four years.

The Senate passed S. 150 on April 29, 2004 by a vote of 93 to 3 (Roll Call 77). We have assigned pluses to the “yeas” because this bill would prevent some forms of taxation on Internet users for an additional four years.

29 Unemployment Insurance. This amendment by Senator Maria Cantwell (D-Wash.) to S. 1637 (Corporate Tax Overhaul) would authorize a six-month federal program to provide an additional 13 weeks of unemployment benefits for people who have exhausted their 26 weeks of state jobless benefits. See House Vote #21 for the fate of this amendment in the House.

Senator Don Nickles (R-Okla.) raised a point of order against the Cantwell amendment on the basis of Budget Act restrictions. Senator Cantwell moved to waive the Budget Act with respect to the Cantwell amendment, but the Senate rejected her motion on May 11, 2004 by a vote of 59 to 40 (Roll Call 88), thereby effectively killing the Cantwell amendment. We have

assigned pluses to the “nays” because payment of unemployment benefits is an unconstitutional activity of the federal government. A three-fifths majority (60) of the total Senate is required to waive the Budget Act.

30 IDEA Reauthorization. This bill (H.R. 1350) would reauthorize the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). It would provide for full federal funding by 2011 of 40 percent of the average per pupil costs for certain programs under IDEA by authorizing discretionary spending increases of \$2.3 billion per year. For fiscal 2005, H.R. 1350 would authorize a total of \$12.4 billion for IDEA grants.

The Senate passed H.R. 1350 on May 13, 2004 by a vote of 95 to 3 (Roll Call 94). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because federal aid to education is unconstitutional. ■



Caglecartoons.com



House Vote Scores

	Notes:	21-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
ALABAMA													
1 Bonner (R)	44%	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	?	+	+	-	34%
2 Everett (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	57
3 Rogers, Mike D. (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	40
4 Aderholt (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	43
5 Cramer (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	34
6 Bachus, S. (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	37
7 Davis, A. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	43
ALASKA													
Young, D. (R)	44	+	-	-	-	-	-	?	+	+	+	-	46
ARIZONA													
1 Renzi (R)	60	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	43
2 Franks, T. (R)	70	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	70
3 Shadegg (R)	80	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	67
4 Pastor (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	39
5 Hayworth (R)	56	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	?	+	-	41
6 Flake (R)	80	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	80
7 Grijalva (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	40
8 Kolbe (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	27
ARKANSAS													
1 Berry (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	50
2 Snyder (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	31
3 Boozman (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	40
4 Ross (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50
CALIFORNIA													
1 Thompson, M. (D)	33	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	?	-	+	+	31
2 Heger (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	37
3 Ose (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	30
4 Doolittle (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	41
5 Matsui (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	33
6 Woolsey (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	40
7 Miller, George (D)	22	-	-	-	+	?	-	-	-	-	+	+	36
8 Pelosi (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	27
9 Lee (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	43
10 Tauscher (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	30
11 Pombo (R)	44	+	-	-	-	-	-	?	+	+	+	-	38
12 Lantos (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	38
13 Stark (D)	25	-	-	-	+	?	-	-	-	-	?	+	43
14 Eshoo (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	36
15 Honda (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	31
16 Lofgren (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	41
17 Farr (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	40
18 Cardoza (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	37
19 Radanovich (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	33
20 Dooley (D)	33	-	?	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	21
21 Nunes (R)	44	+	-	-	-	-	-	?	+	+	+	-	31
22 Thomas, B. (R)	30	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	27
23 Capps (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	33
24 Gallegly (R)	44	+	-	-	-	-	-	?	+	+	+	-	34
25 McKeon (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	33
26 Dreier (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	33
27 Sherman (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	43
28 Berman (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	34
29 Schiff (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	30
30 Waxman (D)	22	-	-	-	+	?	-	-	-	-	-	+	34
31 Becerra (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	33
32 Solis (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	37
33 Watson (D)	13	?	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	32
34 Roybal-Allard (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	40

	Notes:	21-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
35 Waters (D)	33%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	?	-	+	+	39%
36 Harman (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	31
37 Millender-McDonald (D)	50	?	-	-	+	-	-	?	+	-	+	+	46
38 Napolitano (D)	38	?	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	?	+	39
39 Sanchez, Linda (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	31
40 Royce (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	47
41 Lewis, Jerry (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	34
42 Miller, Gary (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	52
43 Baca (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	43
44 Calvert (R)	44	?	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	31
45 Bono (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	30
46 Rohrabacher (R)	22	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	+	-	-	45
47 Sanchez, Loretta (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	39
48 Cox (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	43
49 Issa (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	31
50 Cunningham (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	37
51 Filner (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	43
52 Hunter (R)	56	+	-	-	-	?	-	+	+	+	+	-	45
53 Davis, S. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	30
COLORADO													
1 DeGette (D)	14	?	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	?	?	30
2 Udall, M. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	41
3 McClintock (R)	29	?	-	?	?	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	26
4 Musgrave (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	60
5 Hefley (R)	56	+	?	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	62
6 Tancredo (R)	80	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	80
7 Beauprez (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	33
CONNECTICUT													
1 Larson, J. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	38
2 Simmons (R)	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	23
3 DeLauro (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	37
4 Shays (R)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	30
5 Johnson, N. (R)	30	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	17
DELAWARE													
Castle (R)	60	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	40
FLORIDA													
1 Miller, J. (R)	80	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	70
2 Boyd (D)	60	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	38
3 Brown, C. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	47
4 Crenshaw (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	40
5 Brown-Waite (R)	67	?	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	45
6 Stearns (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	52
7 Mica (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	38
8 Keller (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	48
9 Bilirakis (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	40
10 Young, C.W. (R)	67	+	?	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	41
11 Davis, Jim (D)	56	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	?	+	41
12 Putnam (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	43
13 Harris (D)	60	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	37
14 Goss (R)	56	?	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	37
15 Weldon, D. (R)	56	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	?	+	+	-	45
16 Foley (R)	50	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	37
17 Meek, K. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	41
18 Ros-Lehtinen (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	38
19 Wexler (D)	56	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	?	-	+	+	52
20 Deutsch (D)	50	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	?	?	50
21 Diaz-Balart, L. (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	37
22 Shaw (R)	50	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	33
23 Hastings, A. (D)	38	-	-	-	+	+	-	?	?	-	-	+	43

	1-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
24 Feeny (R)	78%	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	?	64%
25 Diaz-Balart, M. (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	43
GEORGIA												
1 Kingston (R)	56	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	?	+	-	43
2 Bishop, S. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	50
3 Marshall (D)	60	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	50
4 Majette (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	30
5 Lewis, John (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	47
6 Isakson (R)	60	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	40
7 Linder (R)	56	?	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	39
8 Collins, M. (R)	56	+	-	+	-	-	?	+	+	+	-	46
9 Norwood (R)	67	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	?	+	-	62
10 Deal (R)	70	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	57
11 Gingrey (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	40
12 Burns, M. (R)	50	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	37
13 Scott, D. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	43
HAWAII												
1 Abercrombie (D)	38	-	-	?	?	-	+	-	-	+	+	39
2 Case (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	28
IDAHO												
1 Otter (R)	70	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	57
2 Simpson (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	40
ILLINOIS												
1 Rush (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	48
2 Jackson, J. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	43
3 Lipinski (D)	67	?	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	58
4 Gutierrez (D)	29	?	-	?	+	-	-	?	-	-	+	36
5 Emanuel (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	24
6 Hyde (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	32
7 Davis, D. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	41
8 Crane (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	50
9 Schakowsky (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	40
10 Kirk (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	21
11 Weller (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	33
12 Costello (D)	56	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	?	+	62
13 Biggert (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	30
14 Hastert (R): <i>Speaker</i>		+	?	?	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	
15 Johnson, Timothy (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	30
16 Manzullo (R)	56	+	-	?	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	41
17 Evans (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	36
18 LaHood (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	34
19 Shimkus (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	37
INDIANA												
1 Visclosky (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	43
2 Chocola (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	37
3 Souder (R)	50	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	33
4 Buyer (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	39
5 Burton (R)	56	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	?	43
6 Pence (R)	67	+	-	?	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	48
7 Carson, J. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	40
8 Hostettler (R)	80	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	80
9 Hill (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	33
IOWA												
1 Nussle (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	37
2 Leach (R)	33	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	?	+	-	21
3 Boswell (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	41
4 Latham (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	33
5 King, S. (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	50
KANSAS												
1 Moran, Jerry (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	53
2 Ryun, J. (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	50
3 Moore (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	33
4 Tiahrt (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	40

	1-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
KENTUCKY												
1 Whitfield (R)	50%	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	39%
2 Lewis, R. (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	38
3 Northup (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	37
4 Lucas, K. (D)	44	-	-	-	?	-	+	+	+	+	-	41
5 Rogers, H. (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	37
6 Chandler (D)	44	?	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	44
LOUISIANA												
1 Vitter (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	33
2 Jefferson (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	52
3 Tauzin (R)		+	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	29
4 McCrery (R)	44	?	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	31
5 Alexander, R. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	50
6 Baker (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	41
7 John (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	40
MAINE												
1 Allen, T. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	38
2 Michaud (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	50
MARYLAND												
1 Gilchrest (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	27
2 Ruppberger (D)	44	?	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	48
3 Cardin (D)	38	-	-	-	+	-	?	?	-	+	+	29
4 Wynn (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	43
5 Hoyer (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	30
6 Bartlett (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	55
7 Cummings (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	40
8 Van Hollen (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	37
MASSACHUSETTS												
1 Olver (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	40
2 Neal (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	33
3 McGovern (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	40
4 Frank, B. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	47
5 Meehan (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	33
6 Tierney (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	43
7 Markey (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	43
8 Capuano (D)	22	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	?	39
9 Lynch (D)	44	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	?	45
10 Delahunt (D)	38	-	-	-	+	-	?	-	?	+	+	46
MICHIGAN												
1 Stupak (D)	60	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	59
2 Hoekstra (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	50
3 Ehlers (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	30
4 Camp (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	30
5 Kildee (D)	60	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	57
6 Upton (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	30
7 Smith, N. (R)	75	+	-	+	-	+	?	+	+	+	?	64
8 Rogers, Mike (R)	50	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	33
9 Knollenberg (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	33
10 Miller, C. (R)	44	-	?	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	31
11 McCotter (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	34
12 Levin, S. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	37
13 Kilpatrick (D)	22	-	-	-	+	-	-	?	-	-	+	41
14 Conyers (D)	38	?	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	?	+	52
15 Dingell (D)	33	-	?	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	46
MINNESOTA												
1 Gutknecht (R)	70	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	52

Key to House Votes (see pages 22-24)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 21. Unemployment Benefits | 26. Continuity of Congress |
| 22. Child Nutrition Programs | 27. "Marriage Penalty" Relief |
| 23. N. American Development Bank | 28. Abortion at Military Facilities |
| 24. Fiscal 2005 Budget Resolution | 29. Continuity of Congress Amend. |
| 25. Surface Transportation | 30. Job Training & Worker Services |



	Votes:	21-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
2 Kline (R)	60%	+	-	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	40%
3 Ramstad (R)	50	+	-	+	-	-		+	+	-	+	-	37
4 McCollum (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	+	30
5 Sabo (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	+	28
6 Kennedy, M. (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	37
7 Peterson, C. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	+	+	+	47
8 Oberstar (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	+	-	+	43

MISSISSIPPI

1 Wicker (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	33
2 Thompson, B. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	47
3 Pickering (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	31
4 Taylor, G. (D)	50	-	-	+	+	-		-	-	+	+	+	63

MISSOURI

1 Clay (D)	44	-	-	?	+	-		-	+	-	+	+	45
2 Akin (R)	70	+	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	62
3 Gephardt (D)	38	?	-	-	+	-		?	+	-	-	+	54
4 Skelton (D)	60	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	+	+	+	43
5 McCarthy, K. (D)	44	?	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	+	+	40
6 Graves (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	43
7 Blunt (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	37
8 Emerson (R)	38	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	?	?	35
9 Hulshof (R)	50	+	-	-	-	?		?	+	+	+	-	36

MONTANA

Rehberg (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	37
-------------	----	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	----

NEBRASKA

1 Bereuter (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	30
2 Terry (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	37
3 Osborne (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	33

NEVADA

1 Berkley (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	-	+	33
2 Gibbons (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	45
3 Porter (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	33

NEW HAMPSHIRE

1 Bradley (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	-	+	-	31
2 Bass (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	-	+	-	30

NEW JERSEY

1 Andrews (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	+	+	31
2 LoBiondo (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	33
3 Saxton (R)	44	-	-	-	-	?		+	+	+	+	-	28
4 Smith, C. (R)	44	-	-	-	+	-		+	?	+	+	-	28
5 Garrett (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	57
6 Pallone (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	+	+	+	40
7 Ferguson (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	38
8 Pascarella (D)	33	?	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	-	+	43
9 Rothman (D)	22	-	-	-	+	-		-	?	-	-	+	36
10 Payne (D)	22	-	-	-	+	-		?	-	-	-	+	35
11 Frelinghuysen (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	-	+	-	27
12 Holt (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	+	+	40
13 Menendez (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	+	+	33

NEW MEXICO

1 Wilson, H. (R)	33	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	?	-	31
2 Pearce (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	41
3 Udall, T. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	+	+	40

NEW YORK

1 Bishop, T. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	40
2 Israel (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	30
3 King, P. (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	27
4 McCarthy, C. (D)	44	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	?	+	38
5 Ackerman (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	47
6 Meeks, G. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	-	+	38
7 Crowley (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	-	+	40
8 Nadler (D)	22	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	?	+	38
9 Weiner (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	-	+	37
10 Towns (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	-	+	43

	Votes:	21-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
11 Owens (D)	40%	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	+	+	38%
12 Velazquez (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	+	+	40
13 Fossella (R)	44	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	?	41
14 Maloney, C. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	33
15 Rangel (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	-	+	30
16 Serrano (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	+	+	37
17 Engel (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	-	+	37
18 Lowey (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	-	+	30
19 Kelly (R)	30	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	-	+	-	24
20 Sweeney (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	33
21 McNulty (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	+	+	+	47
22 Hinchey (D)	22	-	-	-	+	-		?	-	-	-	+	38
23 McHugh (R)	33	?	-	-	-	-		+	+	-	+	-	36
24 Boehlert (R)	22	-	-	-	-	-		?	+	-	+	-	21
25 Walsh (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	28
26 Reynolds (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	34
27 Quinn (R)	50	-	-	-	?	-		+	+	+	+	?	39
28 Slaughter (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	+	36
29 Houghton (R)	33	+	-	-	-	-		?	+	-	+	-	26

NORTH CAROLINA

1 Ballance (D)	38	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	?	?	33
2 Etheridge (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	+	+	+	43
3 Jones, W. (R)	70	-	-	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	-	73
4 Price, D. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	33
5 Burr (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	33
6 Coble (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	50
7 McIntyre (D)	60	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	+	+	+	53
8 Hayes (R)	50	-	-	+	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	53
9 Myrick (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	50
10 Ballenger (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	37
11 Taylor, C. (R)	44	-	-	+	-	-		?	+	+	+	-	45
12 Watt (D)	33	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	P	+	41
13 Miller, B. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	-	+	38

NORTH DAKOTA

Pomeroy (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	+	+	33
-------------	----	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	----

OHIO

1 Chabot (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	52
2 Portman (R)	44	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	?	+	-	31
3 Turner, M. (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	30
4 Oxley (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	38
5 Gillmor (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	37
6 Strickland (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	-	+	47
7 Hobson (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	33
8 Boehner (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	39
9 Kaptur (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	+	+	50
10 Kucinich (D)	33	?	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	+	+	45
11 Jones, S. (D)	22	-	-	-	+	-		?	-	-	-	+	39
12 Tiberi (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	41
13 Brown, S. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	-	+	43
14 LaTourette (R)	30	-	-	-	-	-		-	+	+	+	-	33
15 Pryce, D. (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	-	+	-	30
16 Regula (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	33
17 Ryan, T. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	-	+	43
18 Ney (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	37

OKLAHOMA

1 Sullivan (R)	70	+	-	+	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	50
2 Carson, B. (D)	43	-	?	-	+	-		+	+	-	?	?	38
3 Lucas,													

	Votes:	21-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
5 Hooley (D)	40%	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	-	+	33%
PENNSYLVANIA													
1 Brady, R. (D)	22	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	+	?	38
2 Fattah (D)	44	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	?	+	+	41
3 English (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	33
4 Hart (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	37
5 Peterson, J. (R)	33	-	-	-	-	-		?	+	+	+	-	31
6 Gerlach (R)	56	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	?	31
7 Weldon, C. (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	28
8 Greenwood (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	-	+	-	20
9 Shuster, Bill (R)	56	+	-	+	-	-		?	+	+	+	-	45
10 Sherwood (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	37
11 Kanjorski (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	+	+	+	57
12 Murtha (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	+	+	+	53
13 Hoeffel (D)	63	-	?	?	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	46
14 Doyle (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	+	+	+	53
15 Toomey (R)	63	+	-	+	-	+		?	?	+	+	-	54
16 Pitts (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	40
17 Holden (D)	60	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	+	+	+	53
18 Murphy (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	30
19 Platts (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	43
RHODE ISLAND													
1 Kennedy, P. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	-	+	43
2 Langevin (D)	44	?	-	-	+	-		-	+	+	-	+	45
SOUTH CAROLINA													
1 Brown, H. (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	37
2 Wilson, J. (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	48
3 Barrett (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	57
4 DeMint (R)	40	+	-	-	-	?		?	?	+	?	?	44
5 Spratt (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	47
6 Clyburn (D)	44	-	-	-	+	-		?	-	-	+	+	46
SOUTH DAKOTA													
Herseith (D)													+
TENNESSEE													
1 Jenkins (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	43
2 Duncan (R)	67	+	-	+	+	-		?	+	+	+	-	66
3 Wamp (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	47
4 Davis, L. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	+	-	+	41
5 Cooper (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	+	+	41
6 Gordon, B. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	+	+	47
7 Blackburn (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	43
8 Tanner (D)	38	-	-	-	?	?		+	-	-	+	+	43
9 Ford (D)	50	-	-	-	?	-		+	+	?	+	+	41
TEXAS													
1 Sandlin (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	45
2 Turner, J. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	+	+	37
3 Johnson, Sam (R)	63	+	-	-	-	+		+	+	?	?	?	50
4 Hall, R. (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	40
5 Hensarling (R)	70	+	+	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	48
6 Barton (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	48
7 Culberson (R)	63	?	-	+	-	?		+	+	+	+	-	57
8 Brady, K. (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	41
9 Lampson (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	47
10 Doggett (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	+	+	40
11 Edwards, C. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	33
12 Granger (R)	44	?	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	31
13 Thornberry (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	38
14 Paul (R)	100	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	100
15 Hinojosa (D)	44	-	-	-	+	-		?	+	-	+	+	41
16 Reyes (D)	56	-	-	-	+	?		+	+	-	+	+	45
17 Stenholm (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	+	+	+	33
18 Jackson-Lee, S. (D)	25	-	-	-	+	-		?	-	-	P	+	39
19 Neugebauer (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	40
20 Gonzalez (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	+	+	33

	Votes:	21-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
21 Smith, L. (R)	50%	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	37%
22 DeLay (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	41
23 Bonilla (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	36
24 Frost (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	-	+	33
25 Bell (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	-	+	37
26 Burgess (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	53
27 Ortiz (D)	56	?	-	-	+	-		+	-	+	+	+	46
28 Rodriguez (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	43
29 Green, G. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	+	+	41
30 Johnson, E.B. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	+	+	40
31 Carter (R)	50	+	?	-	-	-		?	+	+	+	-	43
32 Sessions, P. (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	43
UTAH													
1 Bishop, R. (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	54
2 Matheson (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	-	+	43
3 Cannon (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	43
VERMONT													
Sanders (I)	40	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	+	+	47
VIRGINIA													
1 Davis, Jo Ann (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	57
2 Schrock (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	45
3 Scott, R. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	+	+	45
4 Forbes (R)	56	+	-	+	-	-		?	+	+	+	-	45
5 Goode (R)	60	-	-	+	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	57
6 Goodlatte (R)	60	+	-	+	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	43
7 Cantor (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	43
8 Moran, James (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	37
9 Boucher (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	43
10 Wolf (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	33
11 Davis, T. (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	31
WASHINGTON													
1 Inlesle (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	+	30
2 Larsen, R. (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	+	20
3 Baird (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	-	+	33
4 Hastings, D. (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	40
5 Nethercutt (R)	40	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	30
6 Dicks (D)	22	?	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	+	21
7 McDermott (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	+	+	43
8 Dunn (R)	40	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	-	+	-	30
9 Smith, A. (D)	33	?	-	-	+	-		+	-	-	-	+	36
WEST VIRGINIA													
1 Mollohan (D)	44	-	-	-	+	-		?	-	+	+	+	50
2 Capito (R)	30	-	-	-	-	-		+	+	-	+	-	27
3 Rahall (D)	67	?	-	-	+	-		+	+	+	+	+	62
WISCONSIN													
1 Ryan, P. (R)	60	+	-	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	45
2 Baldwin (D)	40	-	-	-	+	-		-	+	-	+	+	47
3 Kind, R. (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	37
4 Kleczka (D)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	+	53
5 Sensenbrenner (R)	70	+	-	+	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	57
6 Petri (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	50
7 Obey (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	+	+	55
8 Green, M. (R)	50	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	+	+	-	47
WYOMING													
Cubin (R)	50	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	-	48

Key to House Votes (see pages 22-24)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 21. Unemployment Benefits | 26. Continuity of Congress |
| 22. Child Nutrition Programs | 27. "Marriage Penalty" Relief |
| 23. N. American Development Bank | 28. Abortion at Military Facilities |
| 24. Fiscal 2005 Budget Resolution | 29. Continuity of Congress Amend. |
| 25. Surface Transportation | 30. Job Training & Worker Services |



Senate Vote Scores

Votes:	21-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
ALABAMA												
Shelby (R)	50%	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	53%
Sessions, J. (R)	70	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	53
ALASKA												
Stevens (R)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	43
Murkowski, L. (R)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	43
ARIZONA												
McCain (R)	40	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	47
Kyl (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	50
ARKANSAS												
Lincoln (D)	20	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	27
Pryor (D)	20	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	33
CALIFORNIA												
Feinstein (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	30
Boxer (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	40
COLORADO												
Campbell (R)	44	?	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	39
Allard (R)	60	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	48
CONNECTICUT												
Dodd (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	33
Lieberman (D)	25	?	?	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	24
DELAWARE												
Biden (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	43
Carper (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	23
FLORIDA												
Graham, B. (D)	40	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	38
Nelson, Bill (D)	20	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	21
GEORGIA												
Miller, Z. (D)	67	?	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	58
Chambliss (R)	67	-	?	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	59
HAWAII												
Inouye (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	28
Alkaka (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	30
IDAHO												
Craig (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	57
Crapo (R)	50	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	53
ILLINOIS												
Durbin (D)	20	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	23
Fitzgerald (R)	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	40
INDIANA												
Lugar (R)	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	33
Bayh (D)	30	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	33
IOWA												
Grassley (R)	50	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	47
Harkin (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	33
KANSAS												
Brownback (R)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	47
Roberts (R)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	43
KENTUCKY												
McConnell (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	54
Bunning (R)	33	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	?	+	-	43
LOUISIANA												
Breaux (D)	22	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	?	-	-	28
Landrieu (D)	40	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	33

Votes:	21-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
MAINE												
Snowe (R)	40	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	27
Collins, S. (R)	30	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	27
MARYLAND												
Sarbanes (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	37
Mikulski (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	33
MASSACHUSETTS												
Kennedy, E. (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	38
Kerry, J. (D)		?	?	?	-	-	?	?	?	?	?	15
MICHIGAN												
Levin, C. (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	37
Stabenow (D)	50	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	33
MINNESOTA												
Dayton (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	37
Coleman (R)	44	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	?	45
MISSISSIPPI												
Cochran (R)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	43
Lott (R)	70	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	57
MISSOURI												
Bond (R)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	40
Talent (R)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	40
MONTANA												
Baucus, M. (D)	56	+	?	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	34
Burns, C. (R)	60	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	50
NEBRASKA												
Hagel (R)	56	+	?	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	52
Nelson, Ben (D)	56	+	-	?	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	43
NEVADA												
Reid, H. (D)	33	+	-	-	+	-	?	-	+	-	-	45
Ensign (R)	80	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	67
NEW HAMPSHIRE												
Gregg (R)	60	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	47
Sununu (R)	67	?	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	59
NEW JERSEY												
Lautenberg (D)	20	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	37
Corzine (D)	30	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	33
NEW MEXICO												
Domenici (R)	63	+	?	-	+	+	-	?	+	+	-	54
Bingaman (D)	20	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	27
NEW YORK												
Schumer (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	27
Clinton (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	27
NORTH CAROLINA												
Edwards, J. (D)	17	?	?	?	-	-	?	-	+	-	-	35
Dole (R)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	43
NORTH DAKOTA												
Conrad (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	40
Dorgan (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	43
OHIO												
DeWine (R)	20	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	37
Voinovich (R)	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
OKLAHOMA												
Nickles (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	53
Inhofe (R)	50	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	47

	Votes:	21-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
OREGON													
Wyden (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	-	33
Smith, G. (R)	30	+	-	-	-	+		-	-	+	-	-	43
PENNSYLVANIA													
Specter (R)	50	+	-	+	+	+		-	-	+	-	-	43
Santorum (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+		-	+	+	+	-	50
RHODE ISLAND													
Reed, J. (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	-	33
Chafee (R)	10	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	+	-	-	23
SOUTH CAROLINA													
Hollings (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	-	37
Graham, L. (R)	60	+	-	+	+	+		-	-	+	+	-	60
SOUTH DAKOTA													
Daschle (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	-	30
Johnson, Tim (D)	43	+	+	-	?	?		?	-	+	-	-	37
TENNESSEE													
Frist (R)	40	-	-	-	+	+		-	-	+	+	-	43
Alexander, L. (R)	60	+	-	+	+	+		-	-	+	+	-	52
TEXAS													
Hutchison, K. (R)	50	-	-	+	+	+		-	-	+	+	-	41
Cornyn (R)	50	-	-	-	+	+		-	+	+	+	-	47
UTAH													
Hatch (R)	40	-	-	-	+	+		-	-	+	+	-	43
Bennett (R)	33	-	-	-	+	+		-	-	?	+	-	41
VERMONT													
Leahy (D)	50	+	+	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	+	38
Jeffords (I)	50	+	+	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	+	37

	Votes:	21-30	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1-30
VIRGINIA													
Warner (R)	30	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	+	+	-	37
Allen, G. (R)	50	-	-	-	+	+		-	+	+	+	-	47
WASHINGTON													
Murray (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	-	23
Cantwell (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	-	23
WEST VIRGINIA													
Byrd (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	-	47
Rockefeller (D)	40	+	+	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	-	30
WISCONSIN													
Kohl (D)	50	+	+	+	-	-		+	-	+	-	-	43
Feingold (D)	60	+	+	+	+	-		+	-	+	-	-	47
WYOMING													
Thomas, C. (R)	60	+	-	-	+	+		-	+	+	+	-	52
Enzi (R)	60	+	-	-	+	+		-	+	+	+	-	50

Key to Senate Votes (see pages 24-25)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 21. Country of Origin Labeling | 26. Fiscal 2005 Budget Resolution |
| 22. 2004 Omnibus Appropriations | 27. Child-care Funding |
| 23. Surface Transportation | 28. Internet Tax Moratorium |
| 24. Assault Weapons Ban | 29. Unemployment Insurance |
| 25. Gun Show Checks | 30. IDEA Reauthorization |

Morinville Farms
 808 Hill River Road • Brooks, MN 56715

Growers of
 spring wheat
 and soybeans

Get US out!
 of the United Nations

From the Circulation Department at **NewAmerican**

Understanding Your Magazine Label

Mail Class

Magazine Issue Code

Subscription I.D.#

Expiration Date (Day/Month/Year)

visit: www.thenewamerican.com

N1814 2 267539 06OCT03
 JOSEPH W KELLY
 700 WESTHILL BLVD
 APPLETON WI 54912-0561